



PRODUCT BROCHURE

Drive more impact with one system of record for delivery, MERL, evaluation, and finance

IMP (Impact Management Platform) helps implementers, evaluators, and institutional partners replace scattered spreadsheets with a governed workspace — so teams spend less time reconciling files and more time improving programmes for the people they serve.

The outcome we optimise for

Faster, clearer decisions — backed by consent-safe people data, live delivery evidence, indicator performance, evaluation findings, and accountable spend — so beneficiaries get better programmes and donors get defensible results.

Who wins when you run on IMP

01

Beneficiaries & communities

- Services tracked with consent, not lost in notebooks
- Faster course-correction when indicators drift
- Evaluations that surface what actually works locally

02

Donors & institutions

- Board-ready packs instead of data dumps
- Traceable claims from field events to findings
- Residual capacity stays with the host organisation

03

Implementing teams

- One login: people, activities, results, expenses
- Less re-keying across MERL and finance tools
- Roles, multi-org isolation, import at scale

04

Evaluation leads

- TOR ' design ' evidence ' Accept ' client pack
- Draft findings & triangulation assist — you keep gates
- Branded HTML board packs & debrief PPTX



PROBLEMS WE SOLVE

The hidden cost of fragmented impact systems

Most organisations do not lack commitment — they lack a single place where field truth, measurement, evaluation judgement, and money meet. That fragmentation burns months, weakens learning, and under-serves the people programmes exist for.

Problem: Spreadsheet chaos

Multiple Excel versions of people lists, activities, and indicators. Nobody trusts the “final” file. Reporting becomes archaeology.

IMP: System of record

People + consent, programmes, activities, results, learning, evaluation, and EMS finance under one multi-tenant workspace — with import when you still have legacy lists.

Problem: Evaluation desk overload

Senior specialists burn weeks reformatting TOR matrices, tools, and board decks — instead of challenging claims and facilitating utilization.

IMP: Governed evaluation workhorse

TOR + proposal ' design draft ' EN/FR/PT tools ' evidence ingest ' draft findings/recs ' package Accept ' branded board pack. Humans keep Accept gates and independence.

Problem: Donors see claims without a trail

Beautiful PDFs that cannot show which interviews, indicators, or ethics holds sit underneath. Trust erodes at the first hard question.

IMP: Traceable narrative

Accepted findings only. Quality marks. TOR coverage & MEAL audits. Freeze packs and share links so clients review a version — not a moving target.

Problem: Finance lives in another universe

Programme teams cannot see how claims and vendors relate to delivery. Finance teams cannot see field context.

IMP: EMS in the same tenancy

Expense claims, approvals, vendors, donors, projects, card reconciliation — same organisation boundary as programmes.



PLATFORM

Four domains. One login. More impact per hour of staff time.

Delivery & field

People, consent, enrollment
Activities & milestones
Import / offline-tolerant drafts
Team roles & multi-org

MERL & learning

Results framework & periods
Indicators & performance
Inquiries & learning log
Analysis & report packs

Evaluation workhorse

TOR design ' Accept cascade
Multi-country sample & events
Auto-eval + package governance
Board HTML, PPTX, freeze, share

Finance (EMS)

Claims, receipts, approvals
Vendors & AP invoices
Donors & funding projects
Card reconciliation & policy

Impact multipliers (what “more impact” looks like)

- Sector teams close the loop from activity ' indicator ' learning in days, not quarter-end scrambles.
- Evaluation products reach validation workshops with cleaner claims — specialists challenge substance, not formatting.
- Beneficiaries benefit when weak design is spotted earlier (ethics holds, thin evidence, off-track indicators).
- Donors fund with confidence when packs show methods, limitations, and residual capacity — not vanity metrics.
- Institutions keep structures after advisors leave — measurement capacity compounds instead of walking out the door.

Governed automation — not black-box “AI evaluation”

IMP drafts structure, findings, triangulation flags, and client packs. Humans Accept design and packages, run real fieldwork, and own final fitness for purpose. That is how you scale desk productivity without surrendering independence.



GET STARTED

From first organisation to client pack — without losing the plot

Typical evaluation path (e.g. multi-country mid-term)

- 0 · Create organisation & invite team
- 1 · Evaluation programme + TOR design Accept
- 2 · Field notes / CSV ' Auto-eval
- 3 · Lead Accepts package
- 4 · Freeze branded board pack & share
- 5 · Validation workshop ' final freeze

In-app: Help ' GP-II playbook walks non-experts job by job. Coach “Next step” keeps teams oriented.

Why Altamont

IMP is a product of Altamont Digital, aligned with Altamont Advisory and Altamont Group's long-standing work at the intersection of intelligence and impact. Methodology can leave with the specialist; residual data structures remain with the host.

Next step

Request an institutional package or sandbox · open the in-app product brochure & GP-II playbook · start a real evaluation programme this week.

imp-acquisition.vercel.app · Help ' Product brochure / GP-II playbook in the workspace

[Altamont Advisory](#) · [Altamont Group](#) · [Altamont Digital](#)

Where Intelligence Meets Impact

© 2026 Altamont Digital. This overview is for prospective and current users. Client evaluation reports are generated per programme with governance gates.